



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,916	09/20/2005	Man Su Park	0465-1433PUS1	4786
2252	7590	10/31/2008		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
10/31/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/549,916	Applicant(s) PARK, MAN SU
	Examiner DANIEL L. ROBINSON	Art Unit 3742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on **24 July 2008**.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) **1-6 and 8-40** is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) **7 and 19-40** is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) **1-6 and 8-18** is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/146/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 5-6, 8-9, 13-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim(U.S.Pat.6,737,620) in view Waligorski(U.S.Pat.5,491,322). Kim discloses a microwave oven having a coffee maker that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a handle on a reservoir or a recessed receiving portion. Waligorski discloses a drip coffee maker for use with a microwave oven that explicitly shows a recess and a reservoir with a handle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a handle with the reservoir to preclude the reservoir becoming to hot to hold and a recess so as to match with the pump tube.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim and Waligorski in view of Biefeldt et al.(U.S.Pat.6,006,655). Kim discloses a microwave oven having a coffee maker that shows many of the features of the claimed

invention but fails to show hinged filter basket. Bielfeldt discloses a coffee machine and filter element therefore that shows a hinged filter element. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a hinged filter element as taught by Bielfeldt to facilitate handling the filter.

Claims 12 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim and Waligorski in view of Sham et al.(U.S.Pat.6,227,102). Kim discloses a microwave oven having a coffee maker that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to show a hinged cover. Sham discloses an automatic coffee maker with a grinder that shows a hinged cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the claimed invention to use a hinged cover as taught by Sham with the device of Kim so as to sustain the swing-out beverage extractor.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Settles and Olson are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr/Daniel L Robinson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742